

Textes et contextes

ISSN : 1961-991X

: Université de Bourgogne

19-1 | 2024

L'entre-deux, une recomposition des représentations. Regards
transdisciplinaires et transfrontaliers

“Shallow fopp’ry” and “music of the spheres”: the multifarious mnemonic values of music in Shakespeare’s *The Merchant of Venice*

*“Shallow fopp’ry” and “music of the spheres”: les multiples fonctions
mémorielles de la musique dans Le Marchand de Venise de Shakespeare*

15 July 2024.

Elisabeth Szanto

 <http://preo.u-bourgogne.fr/textesetcontextes/index.php?id=4831>

Licence CC BY 4.0 (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Elisabeth Szanto, « “Shallow fopp’ry” and “music of the spheres”: the multifarious
mnemonic values of music in Shakespeare’s *The Merchant of Venice* », *Textes et
contextes* [], 19-1 | 2024, 15 July 2024 and connection on 23 November 2024.

Copyright : [Licence CC BY 4.0 \(https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). URL :
<http://preo.u-bourgogne.fr/textesetcontextes/index.php?id=4831>

PREO

“Shallow fopp’ry” and “music of the spheres”: the multifarious mnemonic values of music in Shakespeare’s *The Merchant of Venice*

“Shallow fopp’ry” and “music of the spheres”: les multiples fonctions
mémorielles de la musique dans *Le Marchand de Venise de Shakespeare*

Textes et contextes

15 July 2024.

19-1 | 2024

L’entre-deux, une recomposition des représentations. Regards
transdisciplinaires et transfrontaliers

Elisabeth Szanto

🔗 <http://preo.u-bourgogne.fr/textesetcontextes/index.php?id=4831>

Licence CC BY 4.0 (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

Introduction

1. Shaping Memory through the Musicality of Words
2. Conflicted Musical Discourses and Memories
3. Performing mnesis and anamnesis
4. Conclusion

Introduction

- 1 The encompassing presence of music during the Early Modern period – in cultural and religious institutions, in state and court routines, in popular entertainments and everyday activities – is well documented.¹ We have long been made aware that

[t]he place of music in the Elizabethan scheme of things [was] ... not simply as a diversion but as an act of faith, and thing no less essential to the overall pattern than the concepts of degree, body politic, the elements and humours, and the like. (J. M. Nosworthy 1958: 60, cited in Dunn 1969: 391)

- 2 Yet polemics around the topic of music also flourished in relation to its ambivalent moral influence, particularly in respect to its religious use. While music was the necessary connection to the divine, it was also credited with negative moral effects leading to dissolution and sin.² As Thomas Wright (1604: 172) noted, music “inciteth to devotion and intyceth to dissolution”. Shakespeare, as we shall see, used these contrary strains of music as fertile dramatic tools in complex characterization and plot development. In *The Merchant of Venice*, a highly ambivalent play, music resounds on several levels and takes on many forms: there is vocal music, like Bassanio’s guidance song, and instrumental music emerging from the “*flourish of cornetts*” (MV 2.1.47) accompanying the entry and exit of princes (Morocco and Aragon) or that played by the musicians for the Venetian masque and, later on, to suggest the final reconciliation. But also, and foremost, there is the music of Shakespeare’s “lines, / Which were so richly spun, and woven so fit” (Jonson 1623). Music, at last, is addressed as a topic: in Lorenzo’s discourse, and in Shylock’s seclusion from it.
- 3 The wealth of literary criticism devoted to music in *The Merchant of Venice* has tended to be mostly concerned with discourses of Pythagorean origin on the music of the spheres and Orphean associations,³ only occasionally tackling music’s and musicality’s role in the shaping of individual and collective memory processes in the theatre. In this paper, I would thus like to elaborate on the use of music as a mnemonic tool in Shakespeare’s *Merchant of Venice*. Shakespeare, I would like to argue, was particularly aware that musicality – whether that of words or that of music *per se* – could both aid in the process of memorization (*mnesis*) and support access to stored memories (*anamnesis*), all of which, in turn, could give rise to a variety of feelings and emotions. The different forms and references to music embedded in the play appeal to and structure the characters’ and audience’s memories alike. As such, *The Merchant of Venice* gives us a

synoptic reflection of the various, and sometimes contradictory, Early Modern discourses on music. The play constitutes in itself a sort of dramatized archive in respect to these discourses and showcases the variety of mnemonic effects of musicality upon the auditors, allowing diverging forms of memory and commemoration to co-exist. In what follows, I will first focus on musical patterns of words in *The Merchant*. Indeed music was conceived of as forming a continuum with or participating in rhetoric, which itself lay at the basis of the actor’s art of memorizing, and was meant to work in turn on the audience’s memory.⁴ I will then examine how the ambivalent reception of music in the Early Modern period, when it was viewed either as “poison” or as universal harmony, is mirrored in the play, and how each of these approaches structures the memory in different ways. In my last part, I will dwell on the articulation between vocal and instrumental music and memory, focusing more particularly on the appeal to instantaneous memory in Shakespeare’s text and on comparing the stage directions and suggestions of the play’s text with some recent stagings to see what they make of this central connection.

1. Shaping Memory through the Musicality of Words

- 4 The close association of music and rhetoric was quite frequently addressed in the sixteenth century.⁵ George Puttenham, for instance, considers “verses or rime [to] be a kind of Musickall vt|terance, by reason of a certaine congruitie in sounds pleasing the eare” (1589: 53) and notes the analogy between the sounds of music and the sounds of words: “our speech is made mellodious or harmonicall, not onely by strayned tunes, as those of *Musick*, but also by choise of smoothe words: and thus, or thus, marshalling them in their comeliest construction and order” (1589:164).⁶ Thomas Wilson compares “the tongue” to a “swete soundyng Lute” (1553: 118), alluding, in this way, to the “phonaesthetic aspect” of an orator’s rhetoric (Plett 20: 388). Henry Peacham, in his *Garden of Eloquence* (1593: Dedication) viewed “[...] apt speech given by nature, and guided by Art) [...] as “sweet & musickall harmonie”. He suggested associations of rhetorical figures (mostly figures of repetition) to musical ornaments:⁷ *epizeuxis*⁸ to “quaver in Musicke”, (1593: 48) *traductio*⁹ to “pleasant repetitions and

divisions in Musicke”, (1593: 49) *symploce* a “figure that may serve to any affection, and is a singular ornament, pleasant to the eare, which of some is called the *Rhetoricall* circle, and of others the Musicall repetition” (Peacham, 1593: 44). These associations are further emphasized by Henry Peacham the Younger, who equates musical to rhetorical figures: “What is a *Reuert* but her *Antistrophe*? her reports, but sweete *Anaphora*’s? her counterchange of points, *Antimetabole*’s?¹⁰ her passionate Aires but *Prosopopoe*’s?” (1622: 103).

- 5 Long before him, Quintilian had already laid emphasis on the kindred functions of rhetoric and music – and their ‘instrumental’ role in conveying ideas and emotions to the audience: “different emotions are roused even by the various musical instruments”, (1920: 1.10.26) he writes, also noting that “eloquence does vary both tone and rhythm, expressing sublime thoughts with elevation, pleasing thoughts with sweetness, and ordinary with gentle utterance, and in every expression of its art is in sympathy with the emotions of which it is the mouthpiece”. (Quintilian 1920: 1.10.25)
- 6 But before words and music reached the auditors’ ears and impressed their imaginations and memories, however, the art of memory was studied within the framework of rhetoric as a means to enable the orator to deliver discourses from memory. The basic principles of mnemotechnics were related to the creation of an imprint of places and images in the memory, as indicated by Quintilian in his *Institutio Oratoria* (1920: 11.6). The orator would follow the places of “his memory building, whilst making his speech, drawing from the memorized places the images he had placed on them” (Yates 1966: 3), thus ensuring the foreseen order of delivery of his speech.¹¹ The Early Modern controversy between Giordano Bruno’s disciple Alexander Dicson and William Perkins in 1590 opposed memorizing *ad res* (by concepts) to memorizing *ad verbum* (by words). Dicson’s memory system was based on *loci* and images, considered idolatrous by Perkins, who defended a system based only on language and sound (Wilder 2010: 27). We can extend the latter memorizing technique, to various types of sounds, verbal and nonverbal, music included. In Shakespeare’s time, the *aural* memory of audiences was also solicited to retain sermons delivered in the Protestant Church of England, with its emphasis on the word and on the Bible, and its elimination of Catholic images. As testified by the use of italics in Early Modern ed-

ited sermons, certain words that bore special significance in relation to the lesson that was being taught were repeated and received special emphasis when spoken, functioning as cues or pointers to be remembered.

- 7 In perfect application of the Renaissance theory of the commonalities between music and rhetoric and their similar effects upon the human faculties, aural strategies are used throughout *The Merchant of Venice* to draw the audience’s attention and shape its memory: echoes, repetitions, contrasts, symmetry, rhythm, but also similar sounding words, puns,¹² aurally bring out the major themes of the play for those attending the performance. Puns, in particular, due to their polysemy, aid in memorization and appear close to the several interpretative possibilities of music. Peacham counts among the figures of separation: “Paranomasia [is a figure] which declineth into a contrarie by a likelihood of letters, either added, changed, or taken away” indicating its use: “to allude” (1593: 56).¹³ For instance, Antonio’s lines “My purse, my person, my extremest means, / Lie all unlocked to your occasions” (MV 1.1.138-139) sonorously imply,¹⁴ through an aural similarity between “purse” and “person”, a double meaning: on the one hand and in the foreground, Antonio shows generosity and his own person’s complete devotion to his friend Bassanio; on the other hand, in the background, an implicit allusion may be perceived to the more worrying proximity between person and purse that is developed as a pattern throughout the play. Thus Antonio’s *person* may also be “L[y]ing all unlocked” to Shylock’s gruesome “bond” to take “an equal pound of [your] fair flesh” (MV 1.3.147-148) from where it “pleaseth him” in exchange for the *purse*, or the three thousand ducats loan. This close link between “person” and “purse” also resonates in Portia’s connection between herself and her possessions (“Myself and what is mine to you and yours / Is now converted” (MV 3.2.166-167)), in the continuum she establishes between her “fair mansion” and her “servants”, and even in Shylock’s apparent confusion between his “ducats” and his “daughter” (MV 2.8.15), after Jessica has eloped with Lorenzo, taking her father’s “gold and jewels” (MV 2.4.31) with her. While the alliteration in *d* (“daughter” / “ducats”) sonorously underscores the red thread of the proximity between “person” and “purse”, Shylock’s ducats are complemented by an attribute, the ducats being seemingly converted to “Christian ducats”. This signals their change of owners,

as they now belong to Lorenzo through his marriage with Jessica, and at the same time, it foretells Shylock’s own conversion as a Christian at the end of the play, his wealth divided between the Christians, Antonio and the Venetian state.

- 8 Another striking aural pattern in the play is the one provided by the repetition of “Kind” and “kindness”, which are used in the double sense of “kind” and “of a kind” and sonorously prepare for *Hamlet*’s later “More than kin, / And less than kind” (Shakespeare 2008: 1.2.65). Through a pun on the word “kind(ness)” in Shylock’s line “This is *kind* I offer” (MV 1.3.138) read as “This is (of a) kind I offer”,¹⁵ Shylock announces his “kinship”. This is interpreted by Bassanio as “This were kindness” (MV 1.3.139). But Shylock’s “*This kindness will I show*” (MV 1.3.140, my emphasis) highlights further the similarity between Christians and Jews, when read with the connotation of “more than of a kind, yet less than kind”. This closeness is then spelled out when Shylock announces the forfeit “with an equal pound / Of your fair flesh to be cut out” (MV 1.3.146-147), while reminding us of the Christians’ “hard dealings [that] teaches them suspect / The thoughts of others” (MV 1.3.158-159). Antonio’s “Hie thee, gentle Jew. / The Hebrew will turn Christian; he grows kind” (1.3.177.78) underscores the similarity of the “kind” and appears to resonate in Shylock’s later forced conversion.
- 9 Shylock’s “catch him once upon the hip” (1.3.44) is echoed in Graziano’s much later “Now, infidel, I have you on the hip” (4.1.331) and acts as an aural pointer for the audience’s memory, materializing through sound motifs resounding similarity, within the overtly stated difference of the opposed parties of Christians and Jews.
- 10 Shylock, however, in much of the play, shows control over aural patterns and uses them in ways that bind his interlocutors. The repetition of words and sentences, in Shylock’s lines suggests almost musical motifs and endows, for instance, his exchange with Bassanio with a measured pace. These resonances translate Shylock’s reveling in his long-awaited advantage over Antonio – by setting his own rhythm, through his unnerving repetitions of Bassanio’s words:

SHYLOCK
Three thousand ducats. Well.
BASSANIO

Ay, sir, for three months.

SHYLOCK

For three months. Well.

BASSANIO

For the which, as I told you, Antonio shall be bound.

SHYLOCK

Antonio shall become bound. Well.

BASSANIO

May you stead me? Will you pleasure me? Shall I know your answer?

SHYLOCK

Three thousand ducats for three months and Antonio bound. (MV 1.3.1-10)

- 11 The brief templates “three-thousand ducats”, “For three months”, and “Antonio shall be bound”, are then compounded into a more complex one, “Three thousand ducats for three months and Antonio bound”, that bundles up the first three motifs into another repetition. Sonorous figures of speech implying repetition (anaphora, epistrophe, repetitio) shape a highly musical exchange, reminding the audience of a *fuga*¹⁶ or a canon¹⁷, a sort of two voice musical counterpoint¹⁸, spoken alternately, in an almost perfect *mimesis* (*imitation*) tainted however by a perceptible opposition.
- 12 Shylock also has an unnerving habit of rewinding the conversation to its starting point, thus affirming his control over it, and the others’ lack of achievement in obtaining progress.

SHYLOCK [...] I think I may take his bond.

BASSANIO Be assured you may.

SHYLOCK I will be assured I may, and that I may be assured, I will bethink me. (MV 1.3.26-29)

- 13 As usual, repeating his interlocutor’s words, “assured”, “may”, Shylock rebuilds them in a chiasmatic structure (or an antimetabole) that finally implies that he is in fact *not* “assured”. This structure can remind us of the “counterchange of points”, suggested by Peacham.
- 14 In scene 3.3, Shylock’s “I’ll have my bond” is omnipresent in multiple repetitions, both as epistrophe and anaphora, overemphasizing the threat and his single-minded goal, but, at the same time, breathing out his anxiety that his vengeance may elude him:

SHYLOCK

I’ll have my bond. Speak not against my bond.
I have sworn an oath that *I will have my bond.*
Thou called’st me dog before thou hadst a cause.
But since I am a dog, beware my fangs.
The Duke shall grant me justice. I do wonder,
Thou naughty jailer, that thou art so fond
To come abroad with him at his request.

[...]

SHYLOCK

I’ll have my bond; I will not hear thee speak.
I’ll have my bond; and therefore speak no more.
I’ll not be made a soft and dull-eyed fool
To shake the head, relent, and sigh, and yield
To Christian intercessors. Follow not.
I’ll have no speaking; *I will have my bond.* (MV 3.3.4-17, my emphases)

- 15 As Shylock repeats with relish over and over again “I’ll have my bond”, this utterance also serves as the cue for Solanio to throw in another insult at Shylock: “It is the most impenetrable cur / That ever kept with men” (MV 3.3.4 -19). The six times repeated cue “my bond” will probably engender Solanio’s response “impenetrable cur” to be reiterated during Shylock’s discourse, amounting to the sonorous impression of a musical counterpoint.
- 16 It is interesting to compare the slow pace set by Shylock’s repetitions in the lines he shares with Bassanio in respect to the latter’s request of a loan with his apprehension that emerges from his lines in court: rendered sonorous through his persistent six-fold repetition of “I’ll have my bond”. The “bond” resonates both as an attempt to social linking and as the reminder of the threat of a “barbarous” outcome “of a kind” – within the Christian/Jewish ‘kinship’.
- 17 Shylock’s mistreatment through abusive language stands out in his long complaint and is repeated in Antonio’s ‘commitment’ to future abuse: “spit”, “spurn”, “dog”, resound as a repetitive pattern, underscoring the proximity between rhetorical and musical motifs.

SHYLOCK

you call me misbeliever, cut-throat, dog,
And spit upon my Jewish gaberdine,

[...]

And foot me as you *spurn* a stranger cur (MV 1.3.108-109, 115),

ANTONIO

I am as like to call thee so again,

To *spit* on thee again, to *spurn* thee too (MV 1.3.126-127, my emphases)

- 18 These abuses are echoed throughout the play by other characters such as Solanio, Salarino and Graziano. Solanio’s “impenetrable cur” or “dog Jew” and Graziano’s “inexecrable dog” constantly remind Shylock of the discrimination and hate he was the object of the few examples mentioned above reveal that musical strategies are embedded in the language of *The Merchant of Venice* – a resonant reflection of the discourses on the proximity of music and rhetoric in Shakespeare’s time. The near homophones (“purse”/“person), puns (“kind”/“kindness”), and repetitions (“I’ll have you on the hip”, “I’ll have my bond”) participate in the facilitated imprint of the various themes of the play on the audience’s memory. The sustained use of invectives and threats “cut-throat, dog”, “cur”, “spit”, “spurn”, frequently echoed, also support memorization. An extraordinary diversity of rhythm in the play contributes to the increased retentiveness of somewhat controversial discourses. For instance, the voluntarily slow pace imposed by Shylock in his exchange with Bassanio over the loan contrasts with Shylock’s vitality, passion, and verve in his discourse in defense of the Jews: studded with rhetorical figures of repetition (anaphora, epiphora, extended use of isocolon that sustains the intense rhythm through similar parallel structures, successive rhetorical questions (*pysma*) and anthyphora). Shylock’s “bond” pattern, that he desperately clings to, is a constant reminder, in the form of a burden, of his vengeful hope that, at least, before the law, all Venetian citizens are equal.

2. Conflicted Musical Discourses and Memories

- 19 The ambivalence of Shylock’s “bond”, which is signalled through aural patternings to the audience, is also at work in attitudes towards music in the play.

- 20 Indeed the play reflects the ambivalent moral and religious reception of music in Early Modern culture, actually retracing and memorializing conflicting contemporary discourses on music. Shylock’s request to his daughter Jessica to close the doors of his house so as not to let in the “sound of shallow fopp’ry” enter his “sober house” (MV 2.5.35-6) contrasts with the long-awaited and pleasurable preparations for the Venetian masque of the various characters who are about to “prepare [...] for this masque tonight?” (MV 2.4.21) with “Disguise” (MV 2.4.2) and “torchbearers” (MV 2.4.5).¹⁹
- 21 Shylock’s aversion towards the “shallow fopp’ry” of the music of the carnival points to the re-awakening of the fear of the influence of a certain type of music on *his* psyche, leading towards a complete seclusion from it.

[...] when you hear the drum
And the vile squealing of the wry-necked fife,
Clamber not you up to the casements then,
Nor thrust your head into the public street
To gaze on Christian fools with varnished faces; (MV 2.5.29-33)

- 22 The play thus stages a very controversial issue of the time, when music was considered by the Puritans as a poison for the soul, beguiling and corrupting it. The anti-theatricalist Stephen Gosson famously suggested that the theatre environment infected the audience’s ears and minds: “There set they [dramatists] abroche straunge consortes of melody, to tickle the eare;”, he wrote, also specifying that: “by the priuie entries of the eare slip downe into the hart, & with gunshotte of affection gaule the minde, where reason and vertue shoulde rule the roste” (1579: 14, 15). Puritans rejected instrumental (most particularly the organ) and even vocal polyphonic music – which they deemed too enticing – within the church as well:

modest and chaste harmonies are to be admitted, by removing as farre as may be all soft effeminate musicke from our strong and valiant cogitation, which using a dishonest art of warbling the voyce, doe leade to a delicate and slothfull kinde of life. Therefore Chromaticall harmonies are to be left to impudent malapartnesse in wine, to whorish musicke crowned with flowers, (Prynne 1633: 275)

- 23 Thomas Cartwright objected to antiphonal singing in church: “They tosse the Psalmes in most Places like Tennice Balles”, foreseeing restrictions on the number of sung psalms and recommending “plaine tune[s]” as the musical line (quoted in Hollander, 1961: 247).²⁰
- 24 It may well be that Shylock embodies such a stance. Indeed, the issue of Shylock’s Jewishness, at the heart of this interpretation, is quite debated in critical literature, as Elizabethan England harboured only an extremely low number of Jews (a couple of hundred).²¹ The play could then metaphorically represent – not an enmity between Christians and Jews – but the bloody division within Christendom between Catholics and radical Protestants. Several critics have argued that Shylock’s Jewishness is more emblematic than real (Tretiak 1929, cited in Smith 2013: 219), suggesting the “alien” as standing in for Huguenots seeking refuge from religious wars in Europe. This association between Jews and Protestants appears to have been quite frequent. It is even put forward by the Duke de Guise in Marlowe’s (1968: 612) *Massacre at Paris* when he explains that “There are a hundred Huguenots and more / Which in the woods do hold their *synagogue*”. Many Protestants preferred to refer to their place of worship using the old testament term of the “Temple”, to better distinguish their devotional practices from those of the Catholic church. Shylock’s “sober house” secluded from the “shallow fopp’ry” of the carnival, his will “not [to] eat with you, drink with you, nor pray with you” (MV 1.3.36–37) could suggest this separateness of Protestant seekers of “purity” and biblical primitivism, supporting the hypothesis of the depiction of the Puritan through Shylock.
- 25 While Gosson, in his antitheatricalist puritanical stance, mentions the *Merchant of Venice* in his *Schoole of Abuse*, that stages “the Jew [...] [as] representing greediness of worldly chusers and the bloodie Mindes of usurers”, Shylock reciprocally appears to cite Gosson, as hereunder, when asking Jessica to “stop my house’s ears” and to “let not the sound of shallow fopp’ry enter / My sober house” (MV 2.5.35–36). According to Gosson,

And if you perceiue your selues in any danger *at your owne doores*,
either allured by curtesie in the day, or assaulted with Musicks in the
night; Close vp your eyes, stoppe your eares, tye vp your tongues;
when they speake, aunswaere not; when they hallowe, stoope not;

when they sighe, laugh at them; when they sue, scorne them; Shunne their company, neuer be seene where they resort; so shall you neither set them proppes, when they seeke to clime; nor holde them the stirrop, when they profer to mount. (Gosson 1579, my emphases)

- 26 Shylock proffers very similar advice to his daughter Jessica on how to eschew the enticement of music:

What, are there masques? Hear you me, Jessica:
*Lock up my doors; and when you hear the drum
And the vile squealing of the wry-necked fife,
Clamber not you up to the casements then,
Nor thrust your head into the public street
To gaze on Christian fools with varnished faces;
But stop my house's ears, I mean my casements:
Let not the sound of shallow foppery enter
My sober house.* (MV 2.5.28-36, my emphases)

- 27 This similarity of words and expressions appears to support the idea of Shakespeare’s awareness to satirical writing against the theatre and his taking sides in the antitheatricalist debate. With the masque resembling and even sometimes being integrated to a theatre play, the sound of the “drum / And the vile squealing of the wry-necked fife” emanating from the “Christian fools with varnished faces” can be interpreted as, perhaps, Shakespeare’s vivid parody of the Puritan antitheatricalist views that considered that the music of the playhouses and the theatrical performances themselves could lure the audience into sin, like a “harsh [a] chime” of Hell (*Pericles*) (Shakespeare 1998: 1.1.86).

- 28 Shylock disdainfully reiterates his opinion on music during the trial, emphasizing its (gross) materiality and suggesting a certain subjectivity “mood” in respect to the reception of music:²²

Some men there are love not a gaping pig,
Some that are mad if they behold a cat,
And others when the bagpipe sings i'th' nose
Cannot contain their urine; for affection,
Mistress of passion, sways it to the mood
Of what it likes or loathes. (4.1.46–51) (my emphases)

- 29 The choice of the bagpipe appears deliberate, as Early Modern critics considered the sound issued from the bagpipe as “loud, degraded music” in opposition to the harmony of the “music of the spheres” (Ortiz 2011: 155). Shylock thus remains bound to the physical aspect of music, rejecting any incorporeality that may elevate the mind towards the ‘harmonious spheres’. This supports perhaps Shylock’s Puritan stance as he who remains literally locked in his narrow-minded perception of music, grasping only its materiality – deemed as an offensive sound that aggresses the ear, generates an unbalance in the bodily humors as well as in the harmony between body and mind, and that would even illustrate a divide “from [oneself] and [one’s] fair judgement” (*Hamlet* 4.5.81) and lure into sin. While the Puritan view of music, feared for its enticement to ‘dissolution’ also attests of its lack of purpose and intelligibility, more particularly in the case of polyphonic music, this may be alternately interpreted as the apprehension before the subjective perceptivity of music as opposed to the clear and unique reading of the Word of God. Shakespeare thus stages Shylock’s dislike of the ‘Christian’ music of the “masque” as the illustration of a religious and social disharmony. An enduring disharmony, as it is finally not ‘retuned’ even by Shylock’s conversion to a Christian.
- 30 While Shylock’s dislike of music is made obvious in the play, it is interesting to note that another Jewish moneylender’s name in the play, that of Tubal, can be associated with the origins of music. I believe that Shakespeare indeed covertly reflects on the ancient Biblical and Greek (alternately viewed as competing or complementary) mythologies about the roots of music by citing both the names of Tubal and Pythagoras in the *Merchant*. When Shakespeare literally mentions Pythagoras, it is rather in relation to his theory on the transmigration of the soul (or metempsychosis) (Ovid 2000: 15): “Thou almost makest me waver in my faith / To hold opinion with Pythagoras” (MV 4.1.130-131), says Graziano in the court scene, accusing Shylock whose “currish spirit” has been infused by that of a dead, murderous “wolf” who now governs his body and person (MV 4.1.132-33). However, the reference to “Pythagoras” may well have appealed to the memory of the more knowledgeable part of Shakespeare’s audience, who would have recalled his association to the origins of music thought of in terms of mathematical ratio.²³ Yet, in Shakespeare’s time, others attributed

the invention of music to the biblical character of Jubal (Genesis 4:21), often referred to as “Tubal”, both names being derived from the same Hebrew root, the verb יָבַל (*yabal*), which means “to flow forth”, or “to carry forth” or “to conduct”. John Merbecke, for instance, in his entry on “Musicke” in his *Booke of Notes and Common Places* glosses Genesis and explains that “Tubal, the sonne of Lamech by his wife Ada, inuented the science of Musick, by the stroke and noise of hammers of his brother Tubalkain which was a Smith” (1581: 754). Much earlier, Ranulphi Higden had allowed for a shared claim to the invention of music between Tubal and Pythagoras:

Though men rede that Tubal of caines lygnage was fynder of conson-
aneye and of music bifore Noes flode Nethes me redeth among the
Grekes that Pycthagoras founde the craft of musik by sowne of
hamers and by stretchyng of cordes and of strenges. (1831-1895: 203)

- 31 By weaving both Pythagoras’ name and Tubal/Jubal’s names into his play, Shakespeare turns it into an archive that implicitly memorializes these complementary mythologies. In the Bible, Tubal also refers to another character, one of Japhet’s sons (and Noah’s grandsons). Whereas the name “Jubal” relates to the horn through which music flows, the variant “Tubal” means “earth flowing forth” or “the whole world-economy”.²⁴ Whether or not Shakespeare was fully aware of these meanings, this is suggestive of the ways in which both music and money overlap in measure in the play: the economic bond that is to “conduct” the social contract and the action of the play is sealed by the song-like dialogue between Shylock and Bassanio, first, then Shylock and Antonio, as well as in the audience’s memory, as we have seen.
- 32 Yet ‘harmony regained’ can only be achieved through Portia’s resourceful interpretation of the law, leading to a happy conclusion to the “bond”, and by imposing conversion to the prominent Jew of the play, Shylock: “he presently become[s] a Christian” (MV 4.1.383). The final harmony is then suggested through a musical “bond” between the Christian characters – excluding the (converted) Jew. This bitter outcome shows Shylock, now a Christian, still excluded from the community of the Christians bonded within their musical social space.²⁵ In retrospect then, we might also read his words “Let not the sound of shallow fopp’ry enter / My sober house” (MV 2.5.35-36)

as a sonorous, perhaps traumatic, reminder of past injustices, past persecutions he underwent as a Jew, pointing to the space of social and religious exclusion. Either way, the economic/musical bond he proposes appears to be either too sad or all too strict and attuned to the Puritan spirit of plain music, calling, it seems, for other forms of measure and harmony.

- 33 Lorenzo, in particular, counters Shylock’s apprehensive perception of music with *his* reflections on the “sounds of music” (MV 5.1.55) and the “sweet harmony” (MV 5.1.57) and spells out the concord within an immortal soul: “Such harmony is in immortal soul” (MV 5.1.63). Lorenzo develops reflections that pick up on Pythagorean, Platonic and Augustinian associations of music with immortality.²⁶ These concepts appeal to the general memory of the audience, and their knowledge derived from Early Modern humanist education, of ancient Greek and Roman philosophical theories.
- 34 The complex musical theory assimilating universal and musical harmony was presented in Aristotle’s *Politics* (Book 8, chapter 5) and Plato’s *Republic*,²⁷ as being sourced in Pythagoras. This was later formalized and integrated in Christian thought by Saint Augustine (354–430) and then Boethius (480–524), whose ideas were still followed by many within the Church of England. Elizabethan musical theory rested on the concord of sounds representing universal and worldly harmony, following Boethius’ tripartite classification of music as *musica mundana*, *humana* and *instrumentalis*. The first is the “music of the spheres”, “the worlds Musicke ...an Harmonie, caused by the motion of the Starres, and violence of the Spheares ... for it must needs be that a sound be made of the very wheeling of the Orbes” (Ornithoparcus 1609: 1). But these are sounds not audible by humans. The music of the spheres relies on the concept elaborated by Pythagoras (600 BC) that the universe was constituted of spheres that, in their movement, emitted harmonized sounds. Or, as Castiglione (1900: 89), in *The Courtier*, put it later, “the world is made of musick, and the heavens in their moving make a melody”. Pliny (1938: 2.228–9) in his *Natural History* refers to Pythagoras as the originator of the concept of an universal harmony based on mathematical relations:

But occasionally Pythagoras draws on the theory of music, and designates the distance between the earth and the moon as a whole

tone, that between the moon and Mercury a semitone, between Mercury and Venus the same, between her and the sun a tone and a half, between the sun and Mars a tone (the same as the distance between the earth and the moon), between Mars and Jupiter half a tone, between Jupiter and Saturn half a tone, between Saturn and the zodiac a tone and a half: the seven tones thus producing the so-called diapason, i.e. a universal harmony; in this Saturn moves in the Dorian mode, Jupiter in the Phrygian, and similarly with the other planets.

- 35 Pliny (1938: 175) however also states that this elevated “sweet harmony” is out of reach of human ears, as “To us who live within it the world glides silently alike by day and night”. *Musica humana* pertains to the harmony within the human world, it represents “what unites the incorporeal nature of reason with the body [if not] a certain harmony and, as it were, a careful tuning of low and high pitches as though producing one consonance” (Boethius 1989: 10). This “harmonious blending of soul and body, reason and passion [...] is analogous to the harmony of the cosmos” (Danson 2006: 190) and was accomplished through the four humours’ (body and soul) equilibrium. *Musica instrumentalis*, at last, refers only to the sound of material music emerging from instruments or from the human voice.
- 36 The topic of the planets emanating harmonious sounds in their cosmological movement is largely illustrated in Lorenzo’s speech that alludes to a universal higher immaterial harmony, however not perceptible by humans, “we cannot hear it” (MV 5.1.65), perhaps in a post-lapsarian perspective.

[...] Look how the floor of heaven
Is thick inlaid with patens of bright gold.
There’s not the smallest orb which thou behold’st
But in his motion like an angel sings,
Still chourcing to the young-eyed cherubins.
Such harmony is in immortal souls,
But whilst *this muddy vesture of decay*
Doth grossly close it in, we cannot hear it. (5.1.58–65, my emphasis)

- 37 The “touches of sweet harmony” emanating from the “orb[s]” appear however to permeate the human body and soul, participating in *their* ‘human’ harmony – as illustrated by the concept of *musica humana*:

How sweet the moonlight sleeps upon this bank!
Here will we sit and let the sounds of music
Creep in our ears. soft stillness and the night
Become the touches of sweet harmony. (MV 5.1.54-57)

- 38 Lorenzo’s reflections on the inaudible “music of the spheres” (*musica mundana*) are concluded with a relevant allusion to *musica humana*: “The man that hath no music in himself, / Nor is not moved with concord of sweet sounds” (MV 5.1.83-84); and then interrupted by the material perceptible sounds of the nearing musicians, “Enter Musicians”, “Music plays” (MV 5.1), thus enouncing and linking the concepts of the three music types devised by classical philosophers.
- 39 Lorenzo then delineates the transformative power of music, exemplifying its appeasing influence on a “wild herd” or on “unhandled colts”. This may be taken to allude to *unbridled* desires that could also be tamed by the influence of music.

For do but note a wild and wanton herd,
Or race of youthful and unhandled colts,
Fetching mad bounds, bellowing, and neighing loud,
Which is the hot condition of their blood.
If they but hear perchance a trumpet sound,
Or any air of music touch their ears,
You shall perceive them make a mutual stand,
Their savage eyes turned to a modest gaze
By the sweet power of music. (5.1. 71-79)

- 40 With an allusion to the myth of Orpheus whose music “drew to himself the trees, the souls of wild beasts, and the stones that followed him” (Ovid 2000: 11),²⁸ Lorenzo underscores music’s capability for a “conversion” towards gentleness and tolerance: “the sweet power of music” (MV 5.1.79) is such that “naught so stockish, hard, and full of rage / But music for the time doth change his nature” (MV 5.1.81-2). This emphasis on music in Lorenzo’s speech is thus not only contrasted with Shylock’s formerly expressed mistrust of music, but also widens the perspective to the socio-political frame²⁹ when hypothesizing that the lack of inner harmony (testified by his insensitivity to music and to dance) is the rationale of authoritarian (here

patriarchal) behaviour and religious sectarianism. Thus Lorenzo clearly spells out the traits of a “nature” unfeeling to music:

The man that hath no music in himself,
[...]
Is fit for treasons, stratagems, and spoils;
The motions of his spirit are dull as night (MV 5.1.83, 85-86).

41 Henry Peacham, in *The Complete Gentleman*, agrees with Lorenzo that those who are “of such disproportioned spirits that they avoid [Music’s] company” “are by nature very ill-disposed and of such a brutish stupiditie that scarce any thing else that is good and sauoureth of vertue is to be found in them” (Peacham 1622: 97). This appears to allude primarily to Shylock’s more or less “voluntary” exclusion from the final attained harmony – envisaged as encompassing and leading to social concord – in which all the other main characters of the play participate. The “music of the spheres” that is discussed at the end of the play is undercut by this *ambivalent* harmony that clearly excludes Shylock, but perhaps also Jessica, leaving out former “infidels”.³⁰ The fact that Jessica is earlier greeted by Graziano as “his [Lorenzo’s] infidel” (MV 3.2.216) and the “stranger” (MV 3.2.235) may also suggest her being left out of the final harmony that unites the Christians. Jessica’s line “I am never merry when I hear sweet music” (MV 5.1.69), uttered as the musicians play a hymn to the goddess Diana may be an appropriate response for one listening to solemn and elevating music. However, it can also be interpreted as a nostalgic reminiscence of her father Shylock’s words and a feeling of self-resentment as she remembers that she eloped, abandoning her father and her faith. Shakespeare is seen once again weaving together conflicting memories and emotions thanks to the characters’ ambivalent responses to music.

42 It is interesting to note, by the same token, that Lorenzo’s speech on the musical harmony of the spheres – sounds which are *unheard* by human ears – and his depiction of music’s influence on the human soul – recognizing the *limited* effects of music on a *vile* receptacle – conclude both in a singular and a rather flat manner. Lorenzo’s philosophical reflections on music also appear undercut by Portia’s more pragmatic comments on the sweetness of the music of her house by night. Portia stresses the importance of circumstances when listen-

ing to music, that enable her to strikingly compare a croaking crow to a lark and a nightingale to a cackling goose (MV 5.1.102–105). Musical and unmusical sounds are thus transformed, depending on the surroundings and the way they are “attended” to (MV 5.1.103).³¹

- 43 This more experiential approach to music may remind us of Bacon’s comment on the enhancement of the sense of hearing when isolated from vision:³²

Sounds are meliorated by the intension of the sense, where the common sense is collected most to the particular sense of hearing, and the sight suspended: and therefore sounds are sweeter, as well as greater, in the night than in the day. (1753: 157)

- 44 The “intension” or intensification,³³ of the sense of hearing may resonate with the Protestants’ tendency to reduce as much as possible visual stimuli in order to turn the sense of hearing into a more efficient entry gate,³⁴ facilitating a straight access to aural memory. And, as severally suggested in the play, particular circumstances may enhance and facilitate the *mnesis* process.
- 45 While the lines of Lorenzo’s speech allude to a recalling of acquired knowledge – mythological, classical – that sometimes requires a longer quest within the mind, Portia’s practical approach perhaps offers a better model to think of the power of the stage in terms of *mnesis* and instant *anamnesis* processes.

3. Performing *mnesis* and *anamnesis*

- 46 The characters’, and more particularly Portia’s illustration of the uses of memory, when she tests music’s influence on Bassanio’s instant memory, mimics the implicit memorization techniques used by the audience to retain “melodic” lines from the theatre play. Music appears to be the bearer of a message, endowed with almost supernatural qualities, when Portia thinks fit to surround Bassanio with a particular type of vocal music meant to enlighten him. But one may wonder to what extent this music is really supernatural or if it is not, rather, subtly crafted by Portia in such a way that it may act effi-

ciently upon the memory of her beloved. As Portia, it seems, plays both the role of a poet here, suggesting music through rhyming words, and the role of a stage director, willfully deciding on what musical technique she will use to spur the right emotion in Bassanio. Portia indeed relies on an evocative soundscape – music and words – to create an adequate psychic environment that might guide Bassanio to the leaden casket containing her “counterfeit” (miniature portrait). Several critics (Shakespeare 2008: 167) have noted the song’s allusive content, and the way the rhyme “bred/head/nourishèd” may resonate with the “[casket of] lead”, suggestively leading Bassanio to it. Instantaneous associations seem to be instinctively activated by the sonorous and suggestive rhymes in *ed* delivered on a melodious musical support that enhances the assimilation of the message. Also, the song’s warning against shallow ornaments could have brought to Bassanio’s mind an association with the deceptiveness of “outward shows” (MV 3.2.73) “engendered in the eyes” (MV 3.2.67). The lines of the song stand out with their particular rhythm, that of the tetrameter of the popular ballad form, starkly contrasting with the longer and more complex spoken lines of the characters in the play mostly written in iambic pentameter. The repeated “Ding, dong, bell” (MV 3.2.71-72) conclusion of the song’s lines could have resounded to the audience like the church and monastery bells that rang for centuries announcing Catholic practices, customs that were significantly slimmed down in Protestant times.

- 47 It is also to be noted that Portia does not stage any music for her other suitors, counting in fact on their character defaults to let them fail on their own. In her lines preceding Bassanio’s choice, Portia even speculates on the hoped for near future when music will “creep” into the “bridegroom” Bassanio’s ear, thus reminding him of his promise and subtly leading him to their marriage.

As are those dulcet sounds in break of day
That creep into the dreaming bridegroom’s ear
And summon him to marriage. (3.2.51-53)

- 48 The effect of music upon memory is thus suggested even *before* Portia’s request for an actual musical environment. This supports then the use of the ‘prepared’ song and its musical rhyming words as an inspiring addition to Bassanio’s own reflections.

- 49 As will be demonstrated by the brief analysis of some recent stagings of the play, the song performed for Bassanio appears to be one of the main musical moments associating music, rhetoric and memory. However, the wealth of music-related topics and their association to memory – that the text reveals – is sometimes only summarily broached in the stagings of the play. The musicality of the language and the employed musical strategies are however present in most stagings³⁵ and bolster the audience’s memorizing of the lines.
- 50 Over more than four centuries of stagings of *The Merchant of Venice* – from the first recorded performance of the play at court, before King James, “on Shrove Sunday, the 10 of February, 1605” (Royal Shakespeare Company) until nowadays – music has been awarded a diversity of roles, like entertainment or displaying music’s function as a mnemonic device. I will consider here only a few examples of contemporary stagings, aiming to reveal the various manners music is used or not to unveil meanings and to support the *mnesis/anamnesis* processes in the theatre.
- 51 In the 1973-74 National Theatre film adaptation starring Sir Laurence Olivier as Shylock, background music is not omnipresent in the play, but marks two main moments proposing additional clues for their interpretation. The music staged by Portia to guide Bassanio in his choice towards the lead casket interestingly appears as a duet by two sopranos who give full voice to the fruitful lines bearing the rhymes with “lead”. The two singers are almost adjacent to Bassanio and to the lead casket, even advancing towards him so as to ensure they gain his full attention. The shrill and penetrating voices seem to literally ‘pierce’ Bassanio’s ears, to reach his soul and mind. “Bred”, “head” and “nourishèd” are accentuated and twice repeated by the two singers. Shylock’s exchange with Jessica forbidding the entrance of the Venetian masque’s “shallow fopp’ry” into his “sober house” is not surrounded by music, but delivered with OP pronunciation, in a rigid manner that, added to the black and white evening clothes Shylock is wearing, may suggest a Puritan’s dress. Lorenzo’s soliloquy on music is delivered simply, without any accompanying sound. Instrumental music resonates later on, contrasting with the pondered upon ‘unheard’ “music of the spheres”. Interestingly at the end of the play, when Jessica, alone, reads the act of justice condemning her father, a mourning Kaddish resounds,³⁶ appearing as a lamentation for

Shylock’s abuse and exclusion and perhaps Jessica’s regrets and anxiety for her new life. This suggests that the “infidel[s]”, both Shylock and Jessica, are still separated from the main group of Christian characters – not included in *their* harmony – and appears to comfort the director’s choice of presenting Shylock as a Jew.

- 52 In the 2004 film version of *The Merchant of Venice* starring Al Pacino in Shylock’s role, directed by Michael Radford, envioning music is quite sparingly used to enhance meaning. There are no fife or drums to suggest the noise of the Venetian masque, Shylock’s “shallow fopp’ry”. The song staged by Portia to guide Bassanio in his choice between the three caskets is cut in half by the director; however, it resonates like a melodious rather entrancing song of a siren, voiced by what appears to be a page. The sound seems to have an enchanting power over the beholders’ – and especially Bassanio’s – psyche, nourishing his reflection and guiding him towards the right casket. The director’s cut also affects Lorenzo’s philosophical speech on music, eliminating both the speech and the instrumental music that would have followed. Several musicians, among them a lute player and a singer, appear for a brief moment on a balcony reminding us of the musicians’ location on the theatre stage, later on, during Portia’s and Nerissa’s home-coming. This staging, while extremely well-played by a star-studded cast, does not make use of envioning music to deepen the representation of the ideas it means to put forward. It brings to the viewer luxurious images that feed the eye more than the ear, as the text appears to suffer under the director’s cut.
- 53 In the 2015 RSC production of *The Merchant of Venice* directed by Polly Findley, the staging has Portia herself sing the ballad-like song to guide Bassanio in his choice towards the lead casket. The rhyme in *ed* “bred/head/nourishèd” is rendered sonorous, as Portia stresses these three words more than the others. This may perhaps suggest the topic of the woman’s empowerment in making her own choices.
- 54 The three staging examples above have in variable degrees kept the song envioning Bassanio’s choice, but dwell less on the topic of music as such – supposed to recreate harmony – thus scaling down the range of subjects in the play. While the stagings recreate the sonorous environment, the present analysis also rests on the play’s text.

4. Conclusion

- 55 In *The Merchant of Venice*, music is invested with several roles, healing and harmony, luring and enticement, guiding, recollection, all of which imply music’s effects on the psyche.
- 56 The play appears as the epitome of the fusion between rhetoric and music, constantly assessing music’s and musicality’s leverage on memory. The structure, the rhythm, the harmonies (echoes, repetitions, etc.) of a musical work, appear embedded in the play. Shakespeare’s extraordinarily musical language stands out and is used, among other purposes, to comment on Renaissance and classical values awarded to music. It is also used to direct attention to and facilitate memorization of the main themes of the play: religion, sectarianism, discrimination, female assertion. The various stage directions suggest that music was frequently used during the play to support a character’s lines and meanings.
- 57 Shakespeare consistently reveals the varied ways in which music provides access to stored memories – be they joyous or painful. The sounds of the Venetian masque – traumatic for Shylock and pleasurable for the other characters of the play – are used at the same time to render the ambivalent reception of music in the Early Modern period. Shylock’s willful seclusion of music may recall the Puritans’ fear of “chromatic harmonies” viewed as “whorish music”.
- 58 A complex soundscape made of music and words pertains to an instant memory, enabling guidance towards an intended end, as in Bassanio’s choice. The audience’s memory is however also solicited in the collective *mnesis* effort sustained by the musical strategies employed in the play. And speeches on classical concepts of music entreat at least part of the audience’s memory – those liable to be acquainted with these ideas. The discourse on the “music of the spheres” (Shakespeare, *Pericles* 2016: 5.1.223) and the global harmony that the “solemn” music (Shakespeare, *The Tempest* 2008: 2.1.189) provides to the main group of characters aims to recreate a social and religious union (“bond”) that clearly excludes the Jews (even if, through Tubal, they are described as the original, Biblical creators of music).

- 59 Shakespeare’s nuanced approach is supported by his masterly use of a panoply of rhetorical figures – revealing and employing the words’ intrinsic musicality and multiple senses – and by his artful intertwining of musical sounds. This encompassing soundscape gives shape to the staged recollection of some of the main Early Modern issues (such as religion, prejudice, female empowerment) and supports their retention by the audience through the musical design of the play.

Primary sources

ANONYMOUS (1586), *The praise of musicke wherein besides the antiquitie, dignitie, delectation, & vse thereof in ciuill matters, is also declared the sober and lawfull vse of the same in the congregation and church of God*, Oxenford: By Ioseph Barnes printer to the Vniuersitie, Ann Arbor: Text Creation Partnership, 2011, available via <https://quod.lib.umich.edu/e/eebo/A09922.0001.001/1:15?rgn=dv1;submit=Go;subview=detail;type=simple;view=fulltext;q1=worshipped+%26+honored>, consulted 5 September, 2023.

ARISTOTLE (2007), *Politics*, ed. Richard Kraut, coll. Clarendon Aristotle Series, Oxford, Oxford University Press, Books VII and VIII.

BACON, Francis (1753), *The works of Francis Bacon, Baron of Verulam, Viscount St. Alban, and Lord High Chancellor of England. In three volumes. To which is prefixed, a life of the author, by Mr. Mallet, “Sylva Sylvarum or A Natural Historie in Ten Centuries”*, Century III, art. 235, London : printed for A. Millar in the Strand.

BOETHIUS, Anicius Manlius Severinus (1989), *Fundamentals of Music*, trans.

Calvin M. Bower, ed. Claude V. Palisca, New Haven and London: Yale University Press.

BRATHWAITE, Richard (1620), *Essaies vpon the fiue senses with a pithie one vpon detraction. Continued vwith sundry Christian resolues, full of passion and deuotion, purposely composed for the zealously-disposed*, London: Printed by E: G[riffin], Ann Arbor: Text Creation Partnership, 2011, available via <https://quod.lib.umich.edu/e/eebo/A16660.0001.001?view=toc>, consulted 8 March 2024.

BUTLER, Charles (1636), *The Principles of Musik in Singing and Setting*, London: John Haviland.

CASTIGLIONE, Baldassare (1900), *The Book of the Courtier*, Trans. Sir Thomas Hoby (1561), London: David Nutt.

CAMPION, Thomas (1655), *The Art of Setting Or Composing of Musick in Parts. by a most Familiar and Easie Rule: In Three Seuerall Treatises. I. of Making Foure Parts in Counterpoint. II. A Necessary Discourse of the Seuerall Keyes, and their Proper Closes. III. the Allowed Passages of all Concords Perfect and Imperfect*, London: Printed for John Playford, & are sold at his shop in the Inner

Temple, available via <http://ezproxy.univ-paris3.fr/login?url=https://www.proquest.com/books/art-setting-composing-musick-parts-most-familiar/docview/2240881094/se-2>, consulted the 14 March 2024.

FLORIO, John (1578). *Firste Fruits which yeelde familiar speech, merie Proverbes, wittie Sentences, and golden sayings, Also a perfect induction to the Italian, and English tongues, as in the table appeareth. The like heretofore, neuer by any man published*, London: Thomas Dawson, Ann Arbor: Text Creation Partnership, 2011, available via <https://quod.lib.umich.edu/e/eebo/A00990.0001.001/1:20.31?rgn=div2;submit=Go;subview=detail;type=simple;view=fulltext;q1=laudable+thyng>, consulted 14 September 2023.

ABRAHAM Fraunce (1588), *The Arcadian rhetorike: or The præcepts of rhetorike made plaine by examples Greeke, Latin, English, Italian, French, Spanish, out of Homers Ilias, and Odissea, Virgils Aeglogs, [...] and Aeneis, Sir Philip Sydnieis Arcadia, songs and sonets [...]*, London: Printed by Thomas Orwin, Ann Arbor: Text Creation Partnership, 2011, available via <https://quod.lib.umich.edu/e/eebo/A01224.0001.001/1:3?rgn=div1;submit=Go;subview=detail;type=simple;view=fulltext;q1=delicate>, consulted 12 March 2024.

GOSSON, Stephen (1579). *The schoole of abuse conteining a plesaunt [sic] inuectiue against poets, pipers, plaiers, iesters, and such like caterpillers of a co[m]monwelth; setting vp the hagge of defiance to their mischieuous exercise, [and] ouerthrowing their bulwarkes, by prophane writers, naturall reason, and common experience: a discourse as plea-*

saunt for gentlemen that fauour learning, as profitable for all that wyll follow virtue, printed at London: for Thomas VWoodcocke, Ann Arbor: Text Creation Partnership, 2011, available via <https://quod.lib.umich.edu/e/eebo/A01953.0001.001?view=toc>, consulted, 29 July 2023.

HIGDEN, Ranulphi (1865-86) *Polychronicon Ranulphi Higden Monachi Cestrensis; together with the English translations of John Trevisa and of an unknown writer of the fifteenth century*, London: Longman & co., Ann Arbor: Text Creation Partnership, 2011, available via <https://quod.lib.umich.edu/c/cme/AHB1341.0001.001/1:5.11?rgn=div2;sort=occur;subview=detail;type=simple;view=fulltext;q1=Tubal>, consulted 14 August 2023.

JONSON, Ben (1623). *To the Memory of My Beloued the Author, Mr. William Shakespeare*, in *Mr. William Shakespeare's Comedies, Histories & Tragedies First Folio*, available via <https://firstfolios.com/Documents/Detail/bodleian-libraries/721?item=734>, Consulted 2 September 2023.

LODOWIC Lloyd (1590). *The consent of time discipherring the errors of the Grecians in their Olympiads, the vncertaine computation of the Romanes in their penteterydes and building of Rome, of the Persians in their accompt of Cyrus, and of the vanities of the Gentiles in fables of antiquities, disagreeing with the Hebrewes, and with the sacred histories in consent of time. VVherein is also set downe the beginning, continuance, succession, and ouerthrowes of kings, kingdomes, states, and gouernments*, Imprinted at London: By George Bishop, and Ralph Nevvberie, Ann Arbor: Text Crea-

tion Partnership, 2011. <https://quod.lib.umich.edu/e/eebo/A06134.0001.001/1:5?rgn=div1;submit=Go;subview=detail;type=simple;view=fulltext;q1=mercurie>, consulted 12 August 2023.

MACE, Thomas (1676). *Musick's monument, or, A remembrancer of the best practical musick, both divine and civil, that has ever been known to have been in the world divided into three parts*, London: Printed by T. Ratcliffe and N. Thompson, Ann Arbor: Text Creation Partnership, 2011, available via <https://quod.lib.umich.edu/e/eebo/A50198.0001.001?view=toc>, consulted 14 August 2023.

MACROBIUS (1952). *Commentary on the Dream of Scipio*, trans. W. H. Stahl, New York: Columbia University Press.

MARLOWE, Christopher (1668). *Dido Queen of Carthage and The Massacre at Paris*. Ed. H.J. Oliver, Cambridge MA: Harvard University Press.

MERBECKE, John (1581). *A Booke of Notes and Common Places*, London: Thomas East), Ann Arbor: Text Creation Partnership, 2011, available via <https://quod.lib.umich.edu/e/eebo/A06863.0001.001/1:15.72.1?rgn=div3;submit=Go;subview=detail;type=simple;view=fulltext;q1=Tubalkain>, consulted 12 August 2023.

MERBECKE, John (1574). *The lyues of holy sainctes, prophetes, patriarches, and others, contayned in holye Scripture so farre forth as expresse mention of them is delyuered vnto vs in Gods worde, with the interpretation of their names: collected and gathered into an alphabeticall order, to the great commoditie of the Chrystian reader*. London: By Henry Denham, and Richarde VVatkins, p. 231, Ann Arbor: Text Creation Partnership,

2011, available via <https://quod.lib.umich.edu/e/eebo2/A06870.0001.001?type=simple&rgn=full+text&q1=tubal&submit=Go>, consulted 31 October 2023.

ORNITHOPARCHUS, Andreas (1609). *Andreas Ornithoparcus his Micrologus, or Introduction: containing the art of singing Digested into foure bookes. Not onely profitable, but also necessary for all that are studious of musicke. Also the dimension and perfect vse of the monochord, according to Guido Aretinus*. By Iohn Douland lutenist, lute-player, and Bachelor of Musicke in both the Vniuersities, London: Printed [by Thomas Snodham] for Thomas Adams, Ann Arbor: Text Creation Partnership 2011, available via <https://quod.lib.umich.edu/e/eebo/A08534.0001.001/1:6?rgn=div1;view=toc;q1=orbes>, consulted 14 March 2024.

OVID (2000). *Metamorphoses*, transl A. S. Kline, Book 11, Book 15 available via <https://ovid.lib.virginia.edu/trans/Metamorph11.htm>, <https://ovid.lib.virginia.edu/trans/Metamorph15.htm>, Consulted 12 July 2023.

PEACHAM (the Younger), Henry (1622). *The compleat gentleman fashioning him absolute in the most necessary & commendable qualities concerning minde or bodie that may be required in a noble gentleman*. By Henry Peacham, Mr. of Arts sometime of Trinity Coll: in Cambridge.

London: Imprinted by John Legat, Ann Arbor: Text Creation Partnership, 2011, available via, <https://quod.lib.umich.edu/e/eebo/A09195.0001.001?type=simple&rgn=full+text&q1=antimetabole&submit=Go>, consulted 12 August 2023.

PEACHAM, Henry (1593). *The Garden of Eloquence Containing the most Excellent Ornaments, Exornations, Lightes, Flowers, and Formes of Speech, Commonly Called the Figures of Rhetorike. by which the Singular Partes of Mans Mind, are most Aptly Expressed, and the Sundrie Affections of His Heart most Effectuallie Vttered. Manifested, and Furnished Vvith Varietie of Fit Examples, Gathered Out of the most Eloquent Orators, and Best Approued Authors, and Chieflie Out of the Holie Scriptures. Profitable and Necessarie, as Wel for Priuate Speech, as for Publicke Orations. Corrected and Augmented by the First Author.* H.P. London: Printed by R[ichard] F[ield] for H. Iackson, available via <http://ezproxy.univ-paris3.fr/login?url=https://www.proquest.com/books/garden-eloquence-containing-most-excellent/docview/2264177785/se-2>, consulted 15 March 2024.

PLATO (1998). *Republic*. R. Waterfield (Ed.). (Oxford World's Classics). Oxford: Oxford University Press. Oxford Scholarly Editions Online (2019). doi:10.1093/ac-trade/9780199535767.book.1 (<https://doi.org/10.1093/ac-trade/9780199535767.book.1>), Consulted 18 August 2023.

PLATTER, Thomas, and Busino, Horatio (1995). *The Journals of Two Travellers in Elizabethan and Early Stuart England*, London: Caliban Books.

PLINY (1938). *Natural History*, transl. H. Rackham, Book 1-2, Cambridge, Massachusetts, London, England: Harvard University Press, <https://www-loebclassics-com.janus.bis-sorbonne.fr/view/CL330/1938/volume.xml>, consulted 19 August 2023.

PUTTENHAM, George (1589). *The arte of English poesie Contriued into three bookes: the first of poets and poesie, the second of proportion, the third of ornament*, London: Printed by Richard Field, dwelling in the black-Friers, neere Ludgate, Ann Arbor: Text Creation Partnership, 2011, available via, <https://quod.lib.umich.edu/e/eebo2/A68619.0001.001/1:6?rgn=div1;view=toc;q1=harmonically>, consulted 19 August 2023 .

PRYNNE, William (1633). *Histrio-mastix The players scourge, or, actors tragædie, divided into two parts. Wherein it is largely evidenced, by divers arguments, by the concurring authorities and resolutions of sundry texts of Scripture ... That popular stage-playes ... are sinfull, heathenish, lewde, ungodly spectacles, and most pernicious corruptions; condemned in all ages, as intolerable mischiefes to churches, to republickes, to the manners, mindes, and soules of men. And that the profession of play-poets, of stage-playes; together with the penning, acting, and frequenting of stage-playes, are unlawfull, infamous and misbeseeming Christians. All pretences to the contrary are here likewise fully answered; and the unlawfulness of acting, of beholding academicall enterludes, briefly discussed; besides sundry other particulars concerning dancing, dicing, health-drinking, &c. of which the table will informe you.* London: Printed by E[dward] A[l]lde, Augustine Mathewes, Thomas Cotes] and W[illiam] I[ones] for Michael Sparke, and are to be sold at the Blue Bible, in Greene Arbour, in little Old Bayly, Ann Arbor: Text Creation Partnership, 2011, available via <https://quod.lib.umich.edu/e/eebo/A10187.0001.001/1:7.7?rgn=div2;submit=Go;subview=detail;type=>

[simple;view=fulltext;q1=impudent](#), consulted 28 July 2023.

QUINTILIAN (1922), *Institutio Oratoria*, Book XI, chapter 6, transl. Harold Edgeworth Butler, Ed., Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press; London: William Heinemann, Ltd., available via <https://www.perseus.tufts.edu/hopper/text?doc=Perseus%3Atext%3A2007.01.0069%3Abook%3D11%3Achapter%3D6>, Consulted 15 August 2023.

QUINTILIAN (1920), *Institutio Oratoria*, Book 1, transl. Harold Edgeworth Butler, Ed., Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press, London: William Heinemann, Ltd., available via <https://www.perseus.tufts.edu/hopper/text?doc=Perseus%3Atext%3A2007.01.0060%3Abook%3D1%3Achapter%3D10>, Consulted 15 August 2023.

SHAKESPEARE, William (2008). *The Merchant of Venice*, ed. Jay. L. Halio, Oxford: Oxford University Press.

SHAKESPEARE, William (1987), reissued 2008), *Hamlet*, ed. G. R. Hibbard, Oxford: Oxford University Press.

SHAKESPEARE, William (1998, 10th printing 2016), *Pericles, Prince of Tyre*, eds. Doreen Delvecchio and Anthony Hammond, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press

SHAKESPEARE, William (2008). *The Tempest*, ed. Stephen Orgel, Oxford: Oxford University Press.

SHAKESPEARE, William (2018). *King Henry VI Part II*, ed. Ronald Knowles, London:

Bloomsbury, The Arden Shakespeare.

SHAKESPEARE, William (2011). *Richard II*, eds. Paul Yachnin and Anthony B. Dawson, Oxford: Oxford University Press, The Oxford Shakespeare.

VERGIL, Polydore (1546). *De rerum inventoribus, An abridgement of the notable woorke of Polidore Vergile conteignyng the deuisers and firste finders out as well of artes, ministeries, feactes & ciuill ordinaunces, as of rites, and ceremonies, commo[n]ly used in the churche: and the originall beginnyng of the same*. Imprinted at London: by Richard Grafton printer to the princes grace, Ann Arbor: Text Creation Partnership, 2011, First booke, chapter XII, available via <https://quod.lib.umich.edu/e/eebo/A14341.0001.001/1:4.11?rgn=div2;submit=Go;subview=detail;type=simple;view=fulltext;q1=amphion>, consulted 16 August 2023.

First booke, chapter XI, available via <https://quod.lib.umich.edu/e/eebo/A14341.0001.001/1:4.11?rgn=div2;submit=Go;subview=detail;type=simple;view=fulltext;q1=linus>, consulted 16 August 2023.

WILSON, Thomas (1553). *The arte of rhetorique for the vse of all suche as are studious of eloquence, sette forth in English*, [London]: Richardus Graftonus, typographus regius excudebat], Ann Arbor: Text Creation Partnership, 2011, available via <https://quod.lib.umich.edu/e/eebo/A15530.0001.001/1:8?rgn=div1;view=toc;q1=swete+soundynge>, consulted 18 March 2024.

WRIGHT, Thomas (1624). *The passions of the minde in generall. Corrected, enlarged, and with sundry new discourses augmented.. With a treatise thereto adioyning of the clymatericall yeare, occasioned by the death of Queene Elizabeth*, London: Printed by Valentine Simmes [and Adam Islip] for Walter Burre [and Thomas Thorpe] and are to be sold [by Walter Burre] in Paules Churchyard at the signe of the Crane, Ann Arbor: Text Creation Partnership, 2011, available via

<https://quod.lib.umich.edu/e/eebo2/A15775.0001.001/1:10.2?rgn=div2;submit=Go;subview=detail;type=simple;view=fulltext;q1=mirth+and+pleasure> §2, p 163, consulted 18 July 2023.

<https://quod.lib.umich.edu/e/eebo2/A15775.0001.001/1:10?rgn=div1;submit=Go;subview=detail;type=simple;view=fulltext;q1=Trumpet>, Fift booke, §2, p. 171, consulted 17/03/24.

ZARLINO, Gioseffo (1968). “The Arte of Counterpoint”, Part III of *The Istitutioni Harmoniche*, trans. Guy A. Marco and Claude V. Palisca, New Haven, London: Yale University Press.

Secondary sources

AUSTERN, Linda Phyllis (2020). *Both from the ears and mind, Thinking about Music in Early Modern England*, Chicago and London: The University of Chicago Press.

BORLIK, Todd A. (2016). “Unheard Harmonies, *The Merchant of Venice* and the Lost Play of Pythagoras in: *Medieval &*

Renaissance Drama in England, 29, 191-221, <https://www.jstor.org/stable/44505221>.

Britannica Academic (2023). Encyclopædia Britannica, Inc., Available via <https://academic-eb-com.ezproxy.univ-paris3.fr/levels/collegiate/article/canon/20026>, <https://academic-eb-com.ezproxy.univ-paris3.fr/levels/collegiate/article/counterpoint/110126>, consulted 19 September, 2023.

BUTLER, Gregory G. (1980). “Music and Rhetoric in Early Seventeenth-Century English” in: *The Musical Quarterly*, 66/1, 53-64, stable URL: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/742136>.

BUTLER, Gregory G. (1977). “Fugue and Rhetoric” in: *Journal of Music Theory*, 21/1, 49-109, stable URL: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/843479>.

BUTLER, Katherine (2016). “Changing attitudes towards Classical Mythology and their impact on notions of the powers of Music in Early Modern England” in: *Music & Letters*, 97/1, 42-60, stable URL: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/44163466>.

FOLKERTH, Wes (2002). *The Sound of Shakespeare*, London and New York: Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group, ProQuest, <http://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/sorbnouv/detail.action?docID=1702123>., created from sorbnouv on 2024-03-09 20:39:28.

DANSON, Lawrence (2006). “Platonic Doctrine and the Music of Act 5”, in Marcus, Leah S., Ed., *The Merchant of Venice, A Norton Critical Edition*, New York, London: W. W. Norton & Company Inc., p. 189-192.

DUNN, Catherine M. (1969). “The Function of Music in Shakespeare’s Romances” in: *Shakespeare Quarterly*,

20/4, 391- 405, stable URL: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2868536>.

FREEMAN, Jane (2002). "Fair Terms and a Villain's Mind": Rhetorical Patterns in *The Merchant of Venice*" in: *Rhetorica: A Journal of the History of Rhetoric*, 20/2, 149-172, stable URL: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.1525/rh.2002.20.2.149>.

HENINGER, S. K (1974). *Touches of sweet harmony: Pythagorean cosmology and Renaissance poetics*, San Marino, California: The Huntington Library.

HOLLANDER, John (1961). *The Untuning of the Sky, Ideas of Music in English Poetry 1500-1700*, Princeton: Princeton University Press.

KIM, Hyun-Ah (2006). "Erasmus on Sacred Music" in: *Reformation & Renaissance Review. Journal of the Society for Reformation Studies*. 8/3, 277-300, DOI: [10.1558/rrr.v8i3.277](https://doi.org/10.1558/rrr.v8i3.277) (<https://doi.org/10.1558/rrr.v8i3.277>).

LINDLEY, David (2006). *Shakespeare and Music*, London: The Arden Shakespeare.

LUPPI, Andrea and Roche, Elizabeth (1993), "The Role of Music in Francis Bacon's Thought: A Survey" in: *International Review of the Aesthetics and Sociology of Music*, 24/2, 99-111, stable URL: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/836971>, consulted 25 October 2023.

OLK, Claudia (2012). "The Musicality of *The Merchant of Venice*" in: *Shakespeare*, 8/4, 386-397, DOI: [10.1080/17450918.2012.731705](https://doi.org/10.1080/17450918.2012.731705), <https://doi.org/10.1080/17450918.2012.731705>

ORTIZ, Joseph M. (2001). *Broken Harmony: Shakespeare and the Politics of Music*, Ithaca and London: Cornell University Press, ProQuest, <http://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/sorbnouv/detail.action?docID=3138183>.

Created from sorbnouv on 2024-03-13 16:09:58.

OWENS, Jessie Ann, Ed. (2006). "Noyses, sounds, and sweet aires", *Music in Early Modern England*, Washington: The Folger Shakespeare Library.

PLETT, Heinrich F. (2004), *Rhetoric and Renaissance Culture*, Berlin, New York: Walter De Gruyter, Inc., ProQuest Ebook Central, <http://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/sorbnouv/detail.action?docID=316777>, Created from sorbnouv on 2024-03-09 19:51:44.

ROYAL SHAKESPEARE COMPANY, available via <https://www.rsc.org.uk/the-merchant-of-venice/about-the-play/stage-history>. Consulted 15 June 2023.

SCHOLES, Percy A. (1962). *The Puritans And Music In England And New England*, Oxford: Clarendon Press

SMITH, Emma (2013). "Was Shylock Jewish?" in: *Shakespeare Quarterly*, 64/2, 188-219, stable URL: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/24778458>.

THE NATIONAL ARCHIVES. KEW, Richmond, available via <https://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/education/resources/jews-in-england-1290/#:~:text=On%2018th%20July%201290%2C%20Edward,November%20to%20leave%20the%20realm>. Consulted 22 August 2023.

WILDER, Lina Perkins (2010). *Shakespeare's Memory Theatre*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

WILSON, Christopher R. (2012). *Shakespeare's musical imagery*, London: Bloomsbury Publishing Plc.

WINN, James Anderson (1981). *Unsuspected eloquence: a history of the relations*

between poetry and music, New Haven and London: Yale University Press

YATES, Frances A. (1966). *The Art Of Memory*, London: Routledge and Kegan Paul.

1 (Austern 2020), (Owens 2006), (Lindley 2006).

2 Percy Scholes’ *The Puritans And Music In England And New England* centers on the 17th century Puritan approach of musical practices, challenging the commonly accepted ‘truth’ that Puritans “objected to music” (1962: 302). He proposes diverse hypotheses for the origin of the “legend of the Puritans’ hatred of amusement” (1962: 305) and comments on early modern and contemporary authors aiming to dislodge the belief on the Puritans’ abhorrence of music. However, his comments on some early modern 16th century Puritan authors often include an illustration of the two perspectives of the topic.

3 (Austern 2020:160). (Lindley 2006: 14), (Hollander, 1961).

4 For contemporary criticism on the relationship between music and rhetoric: James Anderson Winn, *Unsuspected Eloquence: A History of the Relations between Poetry and Music* underscores the analogies between rhetoric and music: ‘four analogues between poetic and musical technique - rhythmic, melodic, harmonic, contrapuntal’ (1981: 122+).

5 The commonalities between rhetoric and music are noted in classical and Early Modern treaties, by Quintillian, Boethius, respectively Puttenham 1589, Fraunce 1588, Peacham 1593, Peacham the Younger 1622, etc., and in critical literature (Hollander, 1961), (Plett, 2004), Hyun-Ah Kim (2008).

6 Similarly, Abraham Fraunce, in his *Arcadian rhetorike* (1588: np) notes that “In figures of words which altogether consist in sweete repetitions and dimensions, is chiefly conuersant that pleasant and delicate tuning of the voyce, which resembleth the consent and harmonie of some well ordred song”, quod.lib.umich.edu/e/eebo/A01224.0001.001/1:3?rgn=div1;submit=Go;subview=detail;type=simple;view=fulltext;q1=delicate (<https://quod.lib.umich.edu/e/eebo/A01224.0001.001/1:3?rgn=div1;submit=Go;subview=detail;type=simple;view=fulltext;q1=delicate>).

7 John Hoskyns, in his book *Direccions for Speech and Style*, uses musical terms to define this rhetorical figure of *contrapositum*: “a fine course to stir admiration in the hearer[s] make them think it a strange harmony which

must be expressed in such discords”, comparing it to “music made of cunning discord” (Hoskyns in Butler, 1980: 57).

8 *Epizeuxis*: the repetition of words with no others between, for vehemence or emphasis (Freeman, 2002: 158).

9 *Traductio*: the successive repetition of a stem word with a variation in case at each reiteration (Butler, 1980: 55).

10 Antimetabole “inversion of word order in a sentence in order to produce a contrary” assimilated to the “fugal technique of contrapuntal inversion” (Butler, 1980: 59).

11 Two main mnemonic systems were developed: memorizing *ad res* (by concepts) to *ad verbum* (by words).

12 That can be assimilated to similarly sounding musical motifs, suggesting varied emotions within the musical context.

13 A kindred rhetorical figure “Antanaclasis is a figure which repeateth a word that hath two significations, and the one of them contrary, or at least, unlike to the other. ..This figure as it uniteth two words of one sound, so it distinguisheth them asonder by the diversitie of thier sence, wherby it moveth many times a most pleasant kind of civile mirth, which is called of the Latines *Facetiae*, or *Urbanitas*”. (Peacham 1593: 57).

14 All quotations of *The Merchant of Venice* are from William Shakespeare (2008), *The Merchant of Venice*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, abbreviated MV.

15 that is “take no doit / Of usance” (MV 1.3.136-137) as Antonio had stated: “I neither lend nor borrow / By taking nor by giving of excess” (MV 1.3.58-59),

16 “Things ingenious, which are called *Mimeses* or *fugae*, where a single voice is sung in consequence but with certain intervals of time intervening” (Joannes Stomius (1502-1562) in Butler, 1977: 51).

17 Canon (OED), 7.a. A species of musical composition in which the different parts take up the same subject one after another, either at the same or at a different pitch, in strict imitation. https://www.oed.com/dictionary/canon_n1?tab=meaning_and_use#10097338.

18 “Counterpoint is wen de Notes of all the Parties, being of equal time and number, goe jointly togeder” (Butler, 1636: 89).

Thomas Campion defined the four parts that compose a counterpoint: the “Base, Tenor, Mean (Countertenor) and Treble”. “They have also in their native property every one place above the other, the lighter uppermost, the weightiest in the bottome” (Campion, 1655: 1).

“the art of counterpoint [...] consists of the composition of songs or melodies for two or more voices.” It is the “concordance or agreement which is born of a body with diverse parts, its various melodic lines accommodated to the total composition, arranged so that voices are separated by commensurable, harmonious intervals [...] It is in the nature of counterpoint that its various sounds or steps ascend and descend simultaneously in contrary motion, using intervals whose proportions are suited to consonance; for harmony has its origin in the joining together of a diversity of opposed elements” (Zarlino, 1558: 1).

19 The detailed depiction of the Venetian masque, where music plays a paramount role, may also allude to the court masque.

20 the popular strophic ballads were considered among the “plaine tunes”, leading to the adoption of the *metrical* psalms, in order to enable all church-goers to participate in the singing (Owens 2006: 92).

21 Jews had been expelled in 1290 through the Edict of Expulsion given by Edward I, <https://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/education/resources/jews-in-england-1290/#:~:text=On%2018th%20July%201290%2C%20Edward,November%20to%20leave%20the%20realm>.

22 Thomas Wright emphasizes the importance of circumstances in the (subjective) reception of music: “I cannot imagine, that if a man neuer had heard a trumpet or a drum in his life, that he would at the first hearing be mooued to warres” (Wright 1604: 171). The importance of the context in the perception of music is also alluded to in Portia’s discourse in scene 5.1.

23 and perhaps, in this specific case to the discordant music, and measure, of Shylock’s “currish” bond.

24 In Early Modern texts, he is identified as the father of the “Spanyards”. His name is glossed as ‘borne, or brought, or worldly’ by Merbecke in another of his works, *The lyues of holy sainctes, prophetes, patriarches, and others, contayned in holye Scripture so farre forth as expresse mention of them is delyuered vnto vs in Gods worde, with the interpretacion of their names: collected and gathered into an alphabeticall order, to the great commoditie of the Chrystian reader*. London: By Henry Denham, and Richarde VVatkins, 1574, p. 231. Ann Arbor: Text Creation Partnership, 2011, available

via <https://quod.lib.umich.edu/e/eebo2/A06870.0001.001?type=simple&rgn=full+text&q1=tubal&submit=Go>, For the modern glosses of the name, see <https://www.abarim-publications.com/Meaning/Tubal.html>.

25 Some of the sermons promoted in the *Book of Common Prayers* (1559) ('An exhortation to obedience, 'An homily against disobedience and wilfull rebellion') associated social harmony – implying obedience within the social hierarchy – to the perfect harmony of the music of the spheres (Folkerth, 2002: 19).

26 Augustine’s *Confessions* (Book 9, chapter 6) are cited as supporting the excellence of music with Biblical references, investing music with the role of connecting to the divine:

hee which hath made us, & the world, and preserveth both us & it, should be worshipped & honored with that thing [musicke] which is most excellent in man, dividing as it were his soule from his body, and lifting up his cognitions above himselfe. [...] And S[aint] Austen saith of himselfe, That the voices, of the singers, did pierce into his eares, & Gods truth did distil into his hart, [...]. (Anonymous 1586: 152).

27 From classical times on, the ambivalent effect of music was recognized and debated. In ancient Greece, Plato (1969: 398-399a) deliberates about the different types of music and how they were to be considered, particularly with respect to the city’s guardians for whom “to be drunk and soft and idle” – defaults that certain types of music were supposed to provoke – were considered unfitting:

'Well, which modes are soft and suitable for drinking-parties?'

'There's an Ionian mode which is called "loose"; he answered, 'and another Lydian one as well.'

'Can you find any use for them, Glaucon, when you're dealing with military men?'

'None at all,' he replied. 'It looks as though you're left with the Dorian and Phrygian modes.'

'I'm no expert on the modes,' I said, 'but please leave me with a mode which properly captures the tones and variations of pitch of a brave man's voice during battle or any other enterprise he'd rather not be involved in—the voice of a man who, even when he fails and faces injury or death or some other catastrophe, still resists fortune in a disciplined and resolute manner (Plato 1998: 3.399).

28 In the same Orphean mode, Macrobius (AD 400) considers “there is no beast so cruel or savage not to be gripped by the spell of such an appeal, “so

captivated by its charm”. “For the soul carries with it into the body a memory of the music which it knew in the sky” (Macrobius, in Hollander, 1961: 30).

29 and to other plays, like *Richard III* alluding perhaps also to the various tyrant figures in the Histories and Tragedies, who lack inner concord. As Lorenzo argues:

The man that hath no music in himself,
Nor is not moved with concord of sweet sounds,
Is fit for treasons, stratagems, and spoils. (MV 5.1. 83-5)

30 More generally, Shakespeare uses music to illustrate political (or religious) discord as, for instance, in *2Henry VI*, where King Henry VI metaphorically deploys musical disharmony to describe heart-felt emotions, the profound pain at the very sight of his warring subjects: “How irksome is this music to my heart! / When such strings jar, what hope of harmony?” (*Henry VI Part 2*, 2.1.56–67). Or *Richard II*, in his speech in Pomfret Castle, when likening his reign and his disgraced state to a “disordered string” (Shakespeare, *Richard II*, 5.5.46).

31 Portia’s prepared musical performance aimed at supporting Bassanio’s choice of casket also underscores the importance of circumstances, when subjectively defining music from “swan-like” to “flourish” according to the “end”: “lose “ or “win”:

Let music sound while he doth make his choice.
Then if he *lose* he makes a *swanlike* end,
Fading in music. That the comparison
May stand more proper, my eye shall be the stream
And wat’ry death-bed for him. He may *win*,
And what is music then? Then music is
Even as the *flourish* when true subjects bow
To a new-crownèd monarch. (3.2.43–50) (*my emphases*)

32 On Bacon’s ambivalent relation to classical theories on cosmological music and his shift away from moralistic approaches, see notably Andrea Luppi and Elizabeth Roche, “The Role of Music in Francis Bacon’s Thought: A Survey”, *International Review of the Aesthetics and Sociology of Music*, Vol. 24, No. 2 (Dec., 1993), pp. 99-111.

33 See OED, sense 1 (now obsolete): “the action of stretching, tension; straining”. All examples of this meaning pertain to the voice.

34 Brathwaite looks upon hearing as “the organ of vnderstanding; by it we conceiue, by the memorie we conserue, and by our iudgement wee reuolue; as maine riuers haue their confluence, by small streames, so knowledg her essence by the accent of the *eare*. As our *eare* can best iudge of sounds, so hath it a distinct power to sound into the centre of the heart”, but condemns seeing: “Though the eye of my bodie allude to the eye of my soule,¹<https://quod.lib.umich.edu/e/eebo/A16660.0001.001?id=DLPS1;lvl=1;note=inline;rgn=div2;view=trgt;q1=seeing>) yet is the eye of my soule darkned by the eye of my bodie; where sense inclines to concupiscence, affection to affectation: and that part (the curious modell of the eye) which ought of it selfe to be a directrice to all other Sences, becomes the principall organ of error to the affections” (Brathwaite, 1620: 6, 1).

35 As far as the director does not substantially modify/cut the play’s text.

36 In Jewish tradition: the anniversary of the death of a parent or other close relative, marked by the burning of a memorial candle, the recitation of the Mourner’s Kaddish (Kaddish n.), OED, https://www.oed.com/dictionary/yahrzeit_n?tab=meaning_and_use#13695615.

English

In *The Merchant of Venice*, music is perceived both mentally through the words alluding to it – literally or metaphorically – and from the sounds of the words – through resounding rhetorical forms and the musicality of language. These musical encounters address the memory of the characters and audience alike, reviving traumatic experiences or reminding them of joyous events. In addition, the characters’ lines are envired by songs and instrumental music, psychically inspiring and contributing to reach a desired and universal harmony, as recorded in classical texts.

This paper offers close readings of the musical and rhetorical strategies at work in the play’s text (as well as in some recent performances) to shed light on the ways in which music is embedded in the play and stimulates memorization processes. At the same time, it shows how Shakespeare’s play serves as a reminder of Early Modern social and religious issues tied in to the understanding of music and its practices.

Français

Dans *Le Marchand de Venise*, la musique apparaît à la fois dans les mots qui y font allusion – de manière directe ou métaphorique – et dans les sonorités des mots – à travers des figures rhétoriques résonantes et par la musicalité du langage. Ces types de rencontres musicales s’adressent à la mémoire des personnages aussi bien qu’à celle du public, ravivant des expériences traumatiques ou rappelant des événements joyeux. De plus, les paroles des personnages sont entourées de chansons et de musique instrumentale, qui inspirent psychiquement et contribuent à atteindre une harmonie désirée et universelle, comme mentionné dans les textes classiques.

Cet article met en œuvre une lecture rapprochée des stratégies musicales et rhétoriques du texte de la pièce (et auxiliairement dans quelques mises en scène récentes), pour mettre en lumière les manières dont la musique est intégrée dans la pièce et permet de stimuler les processus de mémorisation. Il montre aussi comment la pièce de Shakespeare se fait mémoire de questions sociales et religieuses de la première modernité liées aux conceptions et pratiques musicales.

Mots-clés

Shakespeare (William), *Marchand de Venise* (Le), musique, rhétorique, mémoire (mnesis et anamnesis)

Keywords

Shakespeare (William), *Merchant of Venice* (The), music, rhetoric, memory (mnesis and anamnesis)

Elisabeth Szanto

Doctoral student, ED 625, Sorbonne Nouvelle Paris3, 8, Av. de Saint-Mandé, 75012 Paris